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Aliler Castle lies 52 km north of Van, approximately 11 km southeast of Van Lake* (Fig. 1). The closest settlement to the castle is Tutumlu Mezraa in the village of Karaagaç. The castle stands at 1830 m a.s.l. and is cited as 'Kale' in $1 / 25.000$ maps (Fig. 2), although the local people call it 'Aliler''. It was built on an andesite rocky hill, and the southern and southeastern parts are surrounded with large andesite rocks. The southeastern part of the castle was eroded by the stream which flows down from the high hills to the south and into Van Lake.

The castle is rectangular in plan, measuring $66 \times 25 \mathrm{~m}$, and oriented along an east-west axis (Fig. 3-4). A wall of large blocks was raised at the southern part, which slopes steep and was not naturally well-defended. It can be seen that the andesite bedrock was partially smoothed and some of it even incorporated into the wall. The same custom is also observed at Yukarı Kaletepe ${ }^{2}$, dating to the Early Iron Age, south of Van Lake. The wall is about 30 m long, but only $2-3$ rows of stone course still stand today (Fig. 5). Its highest point measures about 2 m . The dressed blocks, which have fallen towards the southern slope, suggests that the original height of the wall must have been at least $3-3.5 \mathrm{~m}$.

The southwestern section of the wall consists of 2 rows of stone courses, built onto the bedrock The third row was placed 60 cm towards the inner side of the wall (Fig. 6). Such architectural features dating to the Early Iron Age are not seen in Urartian fortresses. It is understood that such features ceased to be employed as new building techniques had been developed in the Middle Iron Age. For example each stone course which used to be placed $8-12 \mathrm{~cm}$ towards the inner side of the wall, instead, had been placed $3-7 \mathrm{~cm}$ towards the inner side of the wall in the early times of the Urartian Kingdom, dating to the last quarter of 9th century B.C. ${ }^{3}$.

The basalt stones used in constructing the walls was obtained from local
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Fig. 1 - The location of the Aliler fortress.


Fig. 2 - Topography of the Aliler fortress and its vicinity.


Fig. 3 - Plan and section of the Aliler fortress.


Fig. 4 A general view of the hill and of the Aliler fortress from the west.


Fig. 5 - Aliler fortress - Remains of the southern fortification walls.


Fig. 6 Detail of the fortification walls.
sources, the richest basalt sources in Van. Square and rectangular stones were used in the walls ${ }^{4}$, with the outer faces and the joints of the blocks being carefully worked. Iron tools are thought to have been used to shape the basalt. The most interesting feature of Aliler Castle is its walls, which include none of the curtains or bastions common in Urartian fortification walls. The castle was strenghtened by erecting walls on the weak and low stretches of the rocky hill. Building walls on the weak sides of castles is a common feature in Eastern Anatolia and Nakhichevan from the Late Bronze Age and Early Iron Age onwards. The fortresses of Çalhankale ${ }^{5}$ and Nebi (Vayhır-Gavur Kale) in the Republic of Nakhichevan dating to the Late Bronze Age and Oğlankale ${ }^{6}$ Castle dating to the Early Iron Age, and the castles of Yogunhasan7, Keçikıran, Yürek, Papaz, Yukarı Kaletepe, Panz, Meydan Tepe, Bohanis and Karataş in Eastern Anatolia Region all show such features ${ }^{8}$.

It is understood that Aliler Castle is located in a strategic position, which was home to a typical regional dynasty in the Early Iron Age. The site is surrounded by fertile pastures and mountain meadows watered by rich freshwater springs. New structures were raised in Urartian Period, and a large, rectangular building was built on the western hillside (Fig. 7).

Since the extensive remains of the civilian settlement near the building are mostly below the surface, their plans cannot easily be delineated. The building itself however, measuring $50 \times 54 \mathrm{~m}$. and covering an area of $2700 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, apparently has a square plan (Fig. 8). The southern wall is preserved to a height of $60-70 \mathrm{~cm}$. with two rows and a thickness of 2 m ., while the remaining walls are $30-40 \mathrm{~cm}$. with single rows and 3 m . thick (Fig. 9). As the walls are quite thick, buttresses were built. The surfaces of these large andesite blocks were roughly worked and the blocks transported to the site from the rich andesite quarries nearby.

The building consists of seven large halls or rooms. The largest one in the northwest corner is square in plan and measures $22 \times 24 \mathrm{~m}$., covering an area of $558 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. To the south of this room are two adjacent rooms measuring $10 \times 21 \mathrm{~m}$., with an area of $210 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, which are separated by a 1 m . thick internal wall. To the east there lies a rectangular room, $12 \times 36 \mathrm{~m}$., covering an area of $432 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Another room, square in plan, lies to the south of this one, measuring $10 \times 12 \mathrm{~m}$., and covers an area of $120 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, while to the east is a second hall, rectangular in plan, measuring $7 \times 36 \mathrm{~m}$. over an area of $252 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Adjacent to this hall is the smallest room of the complex, measuring only $7 \times 11 \mathrm{~m}$.
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Fig. 7 - Aliler fortress. General view of the building from the east.

It is clear that the rooms and the halls were placed according to a plan, but their purposes remain unknown. The stone foundations must originally have been more than 1.5 m . high. The rooms are filled with earth, as the mud-brick superstructure of the walls collapsed into the rooms. For this reason, it is impossible to locate the doorways, save for a 2 m . wide example, which connects the rectangular room in the south with the square room to the east.

The building is clearly larger than the Early Iron Age fortress on the hill to the east, and must have been the residence of an Urartian ruler. In order to support the ceiling of such a large complex, columns must have been used. Unfortunately, a find which supported this hypothesis, a basalt column base in the south room, was destroyed by villagers. This was 85 cm . high, with a diameter of 80 cm . at the top and 2 m . at the bottom (Fig. 10-11). The base bears an inscription consisting of two lines and, although it is badly damaged, the intact parts informs us about the builder of the site, namely ${ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{Me}$-i-nu-[, i.e. the Urartian king Menua (c. 810-786 B.C.).

No other residence with such a great layout is known in the Urartian realm, although smaller examples have been excavated at Ernis - Evditepe, Armavir, Karmir Blur and Bastam. The residence on the western slopes of Ernis - Evditepe ${ }^{9}$ is
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Fig. 8 - Plan of the building.
also thought to have been built in the reign of Menua, while the dwellings at Armavir ${ }^{10}$, Karmir - Blur ${ }^{11}$ and Bastam ${ }^{12}$ belong to the $7^{\text {th }}$ cent. B.C. The earliest of
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Fig. 9 - A view of the stone walls of the building from the east.


Fig. 10 - The cuneiform inscription on the damaged basalt column.


Fig. 11 - The cuneiform inscription from the damaged basalt column.
these is the Aliler residence built in Menua's reign. It would appear that these large residences of the $8^{\text {th }}-7^{\text {th }}$ century B.C., were developed from preceding examples in the reign of Menua.
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